

legal and factual support for her claims, which unnecessarily increased the costs" can be 1 2 just as easily applied to his own claims that he entirely failed to support, or even attempt 3 to support. Additionally, the abortion coercion claim only failed due to constitutional issues, not Plaintiff's conduct. Further, at the oral argument, Defendant's counsel argued 4 that Defendant wants to be done with Plaintiff. A \$63.55 judgment will do nothing more 5 than maintain a reason for these parties to have additional contact even when the award is 6 7 of hardly any benefit, especially considering Defendant probably paid his attorney more 8 to draft the request than the award is actually worth.

9 Further, a request for costs is due within 20 days of the date of the decision. Ariz. 10 R. Civ. P. 54(f)(2)(A). The Court orally informed the parties during the hearing on 11 November 9, 2023 that it was requiring the parties to file any request for costs or attorneys' fees within 20 days, which was November 29, 2023, as set out in the Court's Minute-Entry 12 13 Order. The parties agreed to an extension of the date, approved by the Court, such that requests were due on December 15, 2023. Defendant filed his request and did not request 14 15 an additional extension but indicated that he reserves the right to supplement his request. There is nothing in the Rules that allows such a reservation of rights. Plaintiff objects to 16 17 any supplementation as being untimely.

18 In conclusion, the Court should determine that there was not a successful party to the case and deny Defendant's request for costs so that these parties can go their separate 19 20 ways.

21	
22	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 19th day of December, 2023
23	FORTIFY LEGAL SERVICES
24	/s/ Kyle O'Dwyer
25	Kyle O'Dwyer
26	3707 E Southern Avenue Mesa, AZ 85206



2

of December, 2023.

