```
THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
 1
 2
                           COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO
 3
            BEFORE THE HONORABLE MONICA WILEY, JUDGE PRESIDING
                 UNIFIED FAMILY COURT - DEPARTMENT NO. 403
 4
 5
                                  ---0000---
 6
     Laura Owens,
 7
               Petitioner,
                                             Case No. FDV-18-813693
 8
              VS.
                                             Pages 1 to 10
 9
     Michael Marraccini,
10
               Respondent.
11
12
                              January 26, 2018
13
     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
14
     For the Petitioner:
15
     In Pro Per
16
     For the Respondent:
17
     Law Offices of Randy Sue Pollock
     BY: Randy Sue Pollock, Attorney at Law
18
19
20
21
22
23
                    Sherry Sawyer, CSR No. 5976, CRR, RMR
     Reported By:
24
                    Official Reporter
25
26
27
28
```

San Francisco, California; January 26, 2018; a.m. 1 The Hon. Monica Wiley, Judge Department No. 403 2 3 (Sherry Sawyer, Official Reporter) THE COURT: We are on the record in the matter of Laura 4 Owens versus Michael Marraccini. 5 6 It is my understanding that the parties were unable to reach 7 an agreement this morning. I do also notice that there are several individuals in the courtroom. 8 9 Is there any anticipation that there will be live testimony this morning? 10 11 Ms. Owens, do you intend to have anyone testify on your behalf today? 12 13 THE PETITIONER: Yes. 14 THE COURT: How many witnesses do you have? 15 THE PETITIONER: Just one. 16 THE COURT: And who is that witness? 17 THE PETITIONER: My brother. 18 THE COURT: Okay. Ms. Pollock? 19 MS. POLLOCK: Yes, Your Honor, we do have witnesses. 20 have my client's mother, Peggy Marraccini, his sister, Stephanie Marraccini, and Colin Scanlon. They're all present in court. 21 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 22 23 Given the time -- it is 11:30, and we do need to be off the 24 record at noon -- I am going to schedule this matter for what we 25 call a long-cause proceeding. Typically, our Friday morning 26 calendars are, as you saw, very short calendars. We do 27 not generally have time to call witnesses, although I did this 28 morning on a few shorter cases. This may take a little bit more

```
time than 30 minutes, and I certainly don't want to rush this
 1
    proceeding just to try to fit it in in the time that is
 2
 3
     allowable.
 4
        MS. POLLOCK: Can I have a moment to get my calendar?
 5
         THE COURT: You may.
         What I'll do is, I'm going to schedule this for a
 6
 7
     long-cause, which will be in the afternoon. So you will have a
 8
     full afternoon of three hours with which to present evidence and
 9
     testimony.
10
         THE PETITIONER: May I bring additional witnesses at that
11
    point?
         THE COURT: Who would you like to bring?
12
         THE PETITIONER: Joan O'Neill.
13
14
         THE COURT: And who is Ms. O'Neill?
15
         THE PETITIONER: She's a friend.
16
         THE COURT: Any other witnesses?
17
         THE CLERK: Is February 9th okay?
18
         THE PETITIONER: Can I have one additional witness?
19
    name is Karen Ilmberger, I-L-M-B-E-R-G-E-R.
20
         THE COURT: All right.
        MS. BERTA: Your Honor, would you want direct testimony by
21
    declaration or --
22
23
         THE COURT: Certainly. If the parties agree that direct
24
     testimony can be submitted by declaration, to the extent that
25
     the parties have put everything that they would like in their
26
    declarations, you can certainly do that. That would reduce some
27
     of the time, but you would still be subject to cross-examination
28
     by the other party.
```

So Ms. Pollock, I'll ask you first. 1 MS. POLLOCK: Are you going to be --2 3 MS. BERTA: Someone will be on the 9th, yeah. (Discussion held off the record.) 4 MS. POLLOCK: That's agreeable, Your Honor. 5 6 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 7 It is my understanding that the parties will submit 8 declarations of all witnesses prior to the date of the next 9 court hearing. Those witnesses will be available at the 10 hearing, and subject to cross-examination by the other side. 11 So, again, the direct testimony will be by declaration. The other side will be able to cross-examine those witnesses, and 12 then, if necessary, any redirect or re-cross would then take 13 14 place. 15 We do have February 9th available. 16 MS. BERTA: I don't know that I can get declarations. assume the Court -- when would the Court want the declarations 17 18 by? 19 THE COURT: Well, let's take a look at the calendar. 20 MS. POLLOCK: Your Honor, the court is only on Fridays? THE COURT: No, it's not. But we're looking at our first 21 22 availability. 23 MS. POLLOCK: I'm in Federal court that morning on February 24 9th. 25 THE COURT: That's fine. Then we can look for another date. THE CLERK: We can do the 16th. Does that work? 26 27 STEPHANIE MARRACCINI: Can I just speak to my brother for one minute? 28

THE COURT: Certainly. 1 MS. POLLOCK: Can we have one minute? 2 3 THE COURT: Certainly. We will take a brief recess while we 4 get the scheduling. 5 (Brief recess.) 6 MS. POLLOCK: I have a Federal trial that is beginning 7 Friday, March 2nd, with jury selection before Judge Seeborg here in San Francisco. The evidence starts on March 5th. I would 8 9 assume I am done by the Friday. We're going every day except 10 Wednesdays. 11 THE COURT: All right. MS. POLLOCK: But it's a short trial. 12 13 THE COURT: That's fine. We will look at the week of March 14 12th or 19th. 1.5 MS. POLLOCK: The 12th? 16 THE COURT: I'm sorry. We're looking at that week. 17 Availability, yes. 18 MS. POLLOCK: Any day? 19 THE PETITIONER: I don't think that works for me. 20 following week would work. We're looking at the week of March 19th for our 21 THE COURT: 22 availability. 23 THE CLERK: March 23rd. 24 THE COURT: March 23rd, Friday? 25 MS. POLLOCK: I have the morning hours, Your Honor. I have a doctor's appointment in the afternoon that I can't 26 27 change. 28 THE COURT: Department 403 at 9:00?

```
(Discussion held off the record.)
 1
         THE COURT: All right. We will schedule that for March 23rd
 2
 3
     at 9:00 a.m.
 4
        MS. POLLOCK: Sorry.
         THE RESPONDENT: That is my best friend's wedding that day.
 5
 6
         THE COURT: All right. So that day is not available.
                     Is the estimated time three hours?
 7
 8
         THE PETITIONER: An afternoon.
 9
                    Yes. Three hours is the estimated time.
         THE COURT:
10
         THE PETITIONER: April 6th?
11
        MS. POLLOCK: April 6th in the afternoon.
         We are still filing declarations?
12
13
        THE COURT: Correct.
         THE CLERK: If April 6th, can we do it in the morning?
14
15
         THE COURT: April 6th at 9:00?
16
        MS. BERTA: Fridays will be hard.
17
         THE CLERK: That's right. Because they're -- we're not
18
    doing it, but CROC is doing it.
19
        MS. BERTA: I mean, an afternoon -- a morning will be
20
    difficult.
         THE COURT: The afternoon of April 6th?
21
        MS. POLLOCK: Yes.
22
         THE COURT: Ms. Owens?
23
24
         THE PETITIONER: Yes.
25
         THE COURT: All right. April 6th at 1:30 to 4:30. That
    would be in this department.
26
27
        MS. POLLOCK: Could I have just a moment, Your Honor?
28
         (Discussion held off the record.)
```

MS. POLLOCK: My two witnesses are gonna be in New York, and 1 one was a percipient witness. 2 THE COURT: April 13th? 3 4 MS. POLLOCK: Yes. 5 **THE COURT:** That would be in the morning or afternoon? 6 Afternoon? **THE CLERK:** The afternoon at 1:30. 7 8 MS. POLLOCK: That's fine. 1:30. 9 THE COURT: We will continue this matter to April 13th at 10 1:30 to 4:30 in the afternoon. 11 Based upon discussions with counsel, it is my understanding that all declarations will be filed prior to the date of the 12 next hearing. 13 14 Counsel, do you want to provide those declarations to the Court no later than March 29th, two weeks prior to the hearing 15 16 date? MS. POLLOCK: That's fine, Your Honor. 17 18 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. March 29th will be the 19 date by which the declarations will be filed. 20 The witnesses for all declarants -- I'm sorry -- all declarants will be available for cross-examination during or at 21 the hearing on April 13th. 22 23 The current temporary order that is in effect will remain in 24 effect until the date of the next court hearing. 25 Are there any questions? Your Honor, what department will this be in? 26 MS. BERTA: 27 THE COURT: This will be in Department 403, this department. MS. POLLOCK: Serve it here, the declarations here? 28

THE COURT: Correct. 1 MS. POLLOCK: Not next door? 2 3 THE COURT: No. You will serve them in -- at the clerk's 4 office in Department 402. 5 MS. POLLOCK: Okay. 6 THE COURT: They will be routed here. There was a question 7 in the back. 8 STEPHANIE MARRACCINI: Earlier today in your courtroom you 9 asked both parties questions. So now why is it different that 10 the -- that you can be cross-examined? 11 THE COURT: When you say, why is it different that you can be cross-examined --12 STEPHANIE MARRACCINI: Maybe I missed it, but I didn't see 13 14 other attorneys asking others questions. I just heard you ask 15 the questions. 16 THE COURT: Right. Most of the pro per self-represented 17 litigants cases that I have, I typically direct the questioning. 18 When there are attorneys, I allow the attorneys to examine the 19 opposing side. 20 STEPHANIE MARRACCINI: Thank you. 21 THE COURT: That's all right. 22 All right. And there's another question in the back. is what happens when you ask if there are any questions. 23 24 PEGGY MARRACCINI: Could it be made clear what happens now 25 that the temporary restraining order is in effect from now until April 13th. If he should come into contact with her, what --26

MS. BERTA: Your Honor, I can address that with her.

27

28

what are the ramifications of that?

PEGGY MARRACCINI: I would like for him to be aware of what
the ramifications are.
THE COURT: All right. There is a temporary order currently
in effect. If the order is violated, then police may be

temporary order, then there certainly are consequences to that

depending upon whether the police officers believe there is

THE RESPONDENT: Of course, I do know that.

sufficient evidence that the order has been violated.

summoned. If it is determined to be a violation of the

THE COURT: And my apologies --

THE RESPONDENT: Marraccini.

THE COURT: Mr. Marraccini, I don't know why I am having problems with that. Mr. Marraccini, please be aware that the order is currently in effect and will remain in effect until the date of the next court hearing. Thank you, sir.

All right. Thank you.

MS. POLLOCK: Thank you.

THE COURT: If you will have a seat, I will sign the reissuance, and we will see you on April 13th at 1:30.

Thank you.

(Proceedings concluded.)

1	State of California )
2	County of San Francisco )
3	
4	
5	I, Sherry Sawyer, Official Reporter for the Superior Court
6	of California, County of San Francisco, do hereby certify:
7	That I was present at the time of the above proceedings;
8	That I took down in machine shorthand notes all proceedings
9	had and testimony given;
10	That I thereafter transcribed said shorthand notes with the
11	aid of a computer;
12	That the above and foregoing is a full, true, and correct
13	transcription of said shorthand notes, and a full, true and
14	correct transcript of all proceedings had and testimony taken;
15	That I am not a party to the action or related to a party
16	or counsel;
17	That I have no financial or other interest in the outcome
18	of the action.
19	
20	
21	Dated: March 22, 2024
22	Shann Samua
23	Sherry Sawyer
24	Sherry Sawyer, CSR No. 5976, CRR, RMR
25	
26	
27	
28	