I. LAW AND ARGUMENT

Rule 26(b), ARFLP states that the attorney or party signing or filing a motion certify that to the best of the person's knowledge, information, and belief formed after reasonable inquiry the following:

- (1) it is not being presented for any improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation;
- (2) the claims, defenses, and other legal contentions are warranted by existing law or by a non-frivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law or for establishing new law;
- (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and
- (4) the denials of factual contentions are warranted on the evidence or, if specifically so identified, are reasonably based on belief or a lack of information.
- 1. Since Respondent's Motion does not Comply with the Requirements Outlined by Rule 26, it Should be Denied.

Rule 26(c), ARFLP allows the Court to impose appropriate sanctions, including an order to pay to the other party the amount of the reasonable costs or attorney fees, when a party files a Petition or Motion in violation of the Rule. Rule 26 further sets forth that prior to filing a Motion for Sanctions:

- A. "[the party seeking sanctions must] attempt to resolve the matter by good faith consultation as provided in Rule 9(c); and
- B. if the matter is not satisfactorily resolved by consultation, provide the opposing party with written notice of the specific conduct that allegedly violates section (b). If the opposing party does not withdraw or appropriately correct the alleged violation(s) within 10 days after the written notice is served, the moving party may file a motion under subpart (c)(3)."

Additionally, to comply with Rule 26, ARFLP, the Motion must:

- A. be made separately from any other motion;
- B. describe the specific conduct that allegedly violates section (b);
- C. be accompanied by a Rule 9(c) good faith consultation certificate; and
- D. attach a copy of the written notice provided to the opposing party under subpart (c)(2)(B).

Counsel for Respondent certified that he met and conferred with Petitioner on August 16, 2023, and with Petitioner's previous counsel, Alexis Lindvall, on December 27, 2023. However, Respondent's Motion did not include evidence demonstrating that Petitioner, or Petitioner's previous counsel were provided *written notice* of specific conduct alleged to have violated Rule 26(b), *ARFLP*. Written notice is required under subpart (c)(2)(B) of Rule 26, *ARFLP*. Without such written notice, Petitioner was not afforded time to cure any alleged deficiencies prior the filing of Respondent's Motion.

2. Petitioner's Initial Pleading and Subsequent Motions do not Violate Rule 26.

Petitioner and Respondent engaged in sexual intercourse on May 20, 2023. Thereafter, Petitioner became pregnant. Petitioner believed Respondent to be the father of the unborn child (now known to have been twins). It is uncontroverted that Respondent purchased a pregnancy test, asked Petitioner to submit to the pregnancy test in his presence, and that the pregnancy test came back positive. Petitioner subsequently filed her Petition to Establish Paternity. Respondent now claims he and Petitioner never engaged in sexual intercourse and therefore could not have been the biological father.

Despite Respondent's assertions to the contrary, the petitioner filed her Petition to Establish to initiate establishing Respondent's paternity rights. Petitioner did not file to harass Respondent and certainly did not attempt to delay any proceedings.

Respondent's assertions that Petitioner's claims are not supported by evidence are disingenuous at best. The petitioner's claims and pleadings have evidentiary support. Respondent's actions have limited Petitioner's ability to provide supporting evidence. Petitioner should not be required to provide evidence pertaining to her privileged medical records without accepting that the evidence will be immediately shared with the public and Petitioner will be further harassed and embarrassed online. In fact, the petitioner has attempted to procure witnesses to testify to the merit of her claims. Unfortunately, these witnesses fear coming forward without confidentiality as they do not want to be subjected to the same embarrassment and harassment as Petitioner. The issue of confidentiality and protective orders relating to discovery are pending. As such, Respondent's claim is meritless and premature.

3. Respondent's Motion is Filed in Bad Faith and Petitioner Should be Awarded her Reasonable Attorney Fees for Filing her Response.

Respondent filed the Motion in bad faith and the Court should award Petitioner her attorney fees for having to file a response. Respondent is leaking information to the media causing a constant public barrage of Petitioner online, and then is attacking her by filing frivolous requests for sanctions because Petitioner cannot send her privileged medical records to prove her allegations without the cover of confidentiality or a protective order. All the while, Respondent is causing Petitioner to incur additional attorney fees while Respondent continues to crowd-source his attorney fees through GoFundMe. See Exhibit A.

This case is no longer about a child—this has turned into Respondent abusing the Family Court as his own publicity stunt. Respondent is using this case to attempt to increase his popularity by using his followers and his public image to control the narrative. This intent

is clear when evaluating the tone and constant stream of degrading statements being made in Respondent's pleadings and his attorney's emails (which are coincidentally almost all referenced as exhibits in Respondent's public pleadings). This is further supported by each of Respondent's pleadings being made public online before many of them even appear on the Electronic Court Record after being processed by the Clerk of Court. Petitioner, who originally filed the Petition to encourage the person she believed to be the father of her child(ren) to be an active and involved father, has now been made out to be a crazed woman who fabricated a pregnancy for her own publicity when this narrative is far from the truth.

II. CONCLUSION

The Court should deny Respondent's Motion for Sanctions and should allow Petitioner to file a China Doll affidavit requesting reimbursement of her attorney fees for having to file a response. Respondent failed to comply with Rule 26(c)(3)(d) while filing a request for sanctions claiming that Petitioner should be sanctioned for failing to comply with Rule 26.

RESPECTFULLY submitted this 23rd day of January 2024.

THE VALLEY LAW GROUP, PLLC

/s/ Cory Keith

Cory B. Keith Attorney for Petitioner

24 /

25 || 1

1	ORIGINAL of the foregoing e-filed
2	this 23 rd day of January 2024, with:
3	Clerk of the Superior Court
4	Maricopa County Superior Court
5	COPY presumed delivered even date to:
6	The Honorable Julie Mata
7	COPY emailed even date to:
8	Gregg Woodnick Woodnick Law, PLLC
9	1747 E. Morten Ave. Ste 205 Phoenix, Arizona 85020
10	
11	Attorney for Respondent
12	By: ILS
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	*
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	

EXHIBIT A

Q

gofundme





Clayton Echard Legal Fund

\$9,514 raised of \$14,000 goal + 393 donations

Share

Donate now

2

Dave Neal is organizing this fundraiser on behalf of Clayton Echard.

Clayton Echard is facing several legal battles within the Arizona court system and could use a hand in hiring a lawyer to properly represent him in court. I have spoken personally with Clayton and while he never wanted to ask for a handout, it is evident that he can use the help

AA si gofundme.com C

Dave Neal is organizing this fundraiser on behalf of Clayton Echard.

Clayton Echard is facing several legal battles within the Arizona court system and could use a hand in hiring a lawyer to properly represent him in court. I have spoken personally with Clayton and while he never wanted to ask for a handout, it is evident that he can use the help of friends and family that want a fair legal battle.

Clayton is the sole beneficiary of this fund. The funds will go directly to his account from here. Thanks for all the generosity!

Donate Share

Donations (393).

☆ See top

11 people just donated

\$500 • Top donation

\$10 Recent donation

Anonymous

Share Donate

Organizer and beneficiary

Organizer
Los Angeles, CA

Contact

Clayton Echard
Beneficiary

Share Donate

Words of support (38)

Please donate to share words of support.



Giver Receiver

\$5 . 2d

I am just curious... who stops at 2 bj's? Like how did it not progress to penetration? I have never gotten a bj never mind 2 and not want/give more. Please explain this. It has really driven me crazy. Are you now waiting for marriage or something?! PLEASE ANSWER;-)



GreggWoodnick FanClub

\$10 . 18 d

YOU ARE THE LAWYER AMERICA NEEDED! Get em! We love you!





ArtsandCrafts Fund

\$10 * 18 d

Greg R Woodnickk for life!!!!!!! BEST LAWYER EVER!

Share

Donate



GreggWoodnick FanClub

\$10 - 18 d

YOU ARE THE LAWYER AMERICA NEEDED! Get em! We love you!





ArtsandCrafts Fund

\$10 - 18 d

Greg R Woodnickk for life!!!!!!! BEST LAWYER EVER!



\$20 · 18 d

Clayton, I'm glad you are fighting. Hope you are doing okay.



Anonymous.

\$15 * 24 d

I hope you can continue to fight for your rightly deserved justice. In no world should anyone get a chance to just walk away from ruining lives while facing no real lasting consequences. I'm sorry for judging you when this all first came

Share

Donate



Anonymous.

\$15 · 24 d

I hope you can continue to fight for your rightly deserved justice. In no world should anyone get a chance to just walk away from ruining lives while facing no real lasting consequences. I'm sorry for judging you when this all first came out, like many others I judged you before I knew all of the situation.



\$20 * 1 mo

Everyone deserves to have professional council.



Tonsil Twins

\$139 . 3 mos

We got ya!



\$50 • 3 mos

Clayton deserves a break and is a good man. You don't know me but much love, and thanks Dave for creating this fund. I will continue to help as needed. You both are not alone.

Share

Donate



Tonsil Twins

\$139 a 3 mos

We got ya!



\$50 * 3 mos

Clayton deserves a break and is a good man. You don't know me but much love, and thanks Dave for creating this fund. I will continue to help as needed. You both are not alone.



The Pit Provides

\$10 • 3 mos

I believe in you, Ultimate Viking! Let's gooooo!!



Annoyed Judge And STILL NO.

\$20 * 3 mos

You're wasting my time,



Show more

Share Donate

AA a gofundme.com



Annoyed Judge And STILL NO.

\$20 * 3 mos

You're wasting my time,



theBachelor Subreddit

\$5 * 3 mos

We all love and support you, Clayton! You were the best bachelor ever and anyway, no one should have their name dragged through the mud like this! XO!



\$20 . 3 mos

Hang in there, Clayton. Keep dancing



\$10 • 3 mos

Wishing you all the best, Clayton!



\$50 • 3 mos

We support you, Clayton!

Share

Donate



\$30 » 3 mos

I support Clayton in fighting against the harassment he has endured. I am donating from a country that does not have free speech and I fully support Dave Neal who has also become a victim in all of this. Every podcaster should be alarmed at this... Read more



ulooksexcinur courtglasses

\$5 . 3 mos

thh the whole fit was a snack



Who's your Daddy?

\$10 • 3 mos

This is crazier than a Lifetime movie! Hope that truth prevails!



Blowie Bebes

\$25 • 3 mos

Clayton for the win. Shut down.



The Pit

Share

Donate



Who's your Daddy?

\$10 * 3 mos

This is crazier than a Lifetime movie! Hope that truth prevails!



Blowie Bebes

\$25 • 3 mos

Clayton for the win. Shut down.



The Pit

\$5 • 3 mos

Good job, Clayton



\$20 • 3 mos

You got this Clayton! They'll never find me!

Show more

October 24th, 2023 • Other

Share

Donate



\$30 * 3 mos

I support Clayton in fighting against the harassment he has endured. I am donating from a country that does not have free speech and I fully support Dave Neal who has also become a victim in all of this. Every podcaster should be alarmed at this... Read more

3

ulooksexcinur courtglasses

\$5 . 3 mos

thh the whole fit was a snack

Ö

Who's your Daddy?

\$10 • 3 mos

This is crazier than a Lifetime movie! Hope that truth prevails!

3

Blowie Bebes

\$25 = 3 mos

Clayton for the win. Shut down.



The Pit

Share

Donate