3

5

6

4

7 8

9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

2223

24

25

26

2728

WOODNICK LAW, PLLC

1747 E. Morten Avenue, Suite 205

Phoenix, Arizona 85020 Telephone: (602) 449-7980 Facsimile: (602) 396-5850 Office@WoodnickLaw.com

Gregg R. Woodnick, #20736 Kaci Y. Bowman, #023542 Attorneys for Defendant

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF ARIZONA

IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF MARICOPA

In Re the Matter of:

LAURA OWENS,

Plaintiff,

v.

GREGORY GILLESPIE,

Defendant.

Case No.: CV2021-052893

ANSWER AND COUNTERCLAIM

(Assigned to the Hon. Alison Bachus)

Defendant, Gregory Gillespie, by and through undersigned counsel, hereby files his Answer and Counterclaim to Plaintiff's Complaint and admits, denies, and alleges as follows:

<u>ANSWER</u>

1. In responding to Paragraph 1 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits that Plaintiff has plead, in part, tort allegations. However, Plaintiff's Complaint also cites to a criminal statute and, to the extent her civil claim is based on the criminal statute, must fail as the criminal statute does not authorize a private cause of action.

- 2. In responding to Paragraph 2 of Plaintiff's Complaint, Defendant admits venue is proper for Plaintiff's tort-based claims, but again affirmatively alleges that any civil claims based on criminal statute are improper in this venue and must fail as the criminal statute does not authorize a private cause of action.
- 3. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint and the allegations contained in apparent subsections 4-9 of Paragraph 3 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 4. In responding to Paragraph 4, Defendant denies that Plaintiff is entitled to an award of attorneys' fees and/or court costs.
- 5. Paragraph 5 does not require a response from Defendant; however, if it is determined that it does require a response, Defendant hereby denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 5.
 - 6. Plaintiff's Complaint does not contain a Paragraph 10.
- 7. Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraphs 11-46 of Plaintiff's Complaint.
- 8. Defendant denies any and all allegations of Plaintiff's Complaint not specifically admitted herein.

COUNTERCLAIM I. FRAUD

1. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff knowingly and falsely represented to Defendant that she was pregnant with the intent to force Defendant into a relationship with her causing Defendant consequent and proximate injury and damages as a result.

- 2. Plaintiff filed her Complaint on August 11, 2021 alleging that she became pregnant with Defendant's child on their second date.
- 3. Prior to filing her Complaint, Plaintiff provided sonographic images to Defendant on August 6 and August 8 of 2021.
- 4. However, a reverse Google Images search revealed that the sonographic images were identical to a sonogram found on a blog post from 2014.
- 5. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff altered the images by adding her name, date of birth, alleged location of the sonogram, and altered the appearance of the image to distinguish it from the one located on the aforementioned blog post.
- 6. To further this fictitious pregnancy, Plaintiff sent Defendant a fabricated email exchange dated August 19, 2021 and August 22, 2021 between herself and California attorneys Alison E. Cordova and Joe Cotchett of Cotchett, Pitre and McCarthy, LLP. Toni Stevens, believed to be a legal assistant at the firm, is also cc'd on the email dated August 19, 2021.
- 7. In the fraudulent email dated August 19, 2021, Associate, Alison E. Cordova, allegedly emailed Plaintiff, in pertinent part, the following (with the subject line of RE: SENT ON BEHALF OF JOE COTCHETT RE: LAURA OWENS PREGNANCY):

[&]quot;Everything you told us about – pregnancy test and ultrasounds – aligned with the timing you provided us. There were no past pregnancies on your record and the three obstetricians you saw felt that pregnancy was very consistent with intercourse that took place between June 30 and July 1st. [...] It must feel like you have the weight of the world on you, but I have no doubt that the jury will sympathize with your situation. The next step is to fill out the attached retention agreement"

8. Subsequently, Joe Cotchett allegedly emailed Plaintiff, in pertinent part, the following on August 22, 2021 (with the subject line of SENT ON BEHALF OF JOE COTCHETT RE: LAURA OWENS PREGNANCY):

"I'm ready to get started on this the second you give me the go ahead [...] I'm always here for you (and the whole Owens family!) whenever you need me and if you want me to go after this guy, I will make this case a top priority (shhh...) because I really feel for you right now. Allison sent me over the retention agreement and medical files [...] This may be very needy and we could make this a public interest story with the snap of a finger."

9. In response, Plaintiff allegedly emailed Joe Cotchett back on August 22, 2021 stating, in pertinent part, as follows:

"I think the best call is to pursue alternative service and try to get him twice: once by posting on his house door and the other by calling his company and finding a co-worker to serve him. I think you're right that you would be better at making those phone calls than me. I texted you the co-workers who we could ask to serve."

- 10. Plaintiff's alleged email exchange with Alison E. Cordova and Joe Cotchett was emailed to Defendant on August 22, 2021 (with the subject line, Urgent: copy of conversation with Joe Cotchett & contract) along with a manufactured/fabricated Contingent Fee Agreement between Plaintiff and Cotchett, Pitre, and McCarthy, LLP, dated August 23, 2021.
- 11. Upon information and belief, neither Alison E. Cordova nor Toni Stevens is currently employed at the firm, nor were they employed at the firm as of August 19 and August 22. 2021.
- 12. Believing that there was fraud in Plaintiff's underlying Complaint, undersigned counsel reached out to the purported attorneys in California who appear to

SENT ON BEHALF OF JOSEPH W. COTCHETT indicated the firm does not represent Plaintiff in this matter. All subsequent emails from undersigned counsel requesting to speak with Joseph Cotchett about the seemingly fraudulent emails purportedly authored by Joseph Cotchett and lawyers that have not worked at the firm for quite some time, went without any substantive response.

- 13. In addition to fabricating documents, <u>Plaintiff has refused to take a non-invasive prenatal paternity test</u>.
- 14. Plaintiff stated she was "willing to take a paternity test to prove that the child's is Greg's [sic]" but that it would be possible that she would **not** be pregnant, as "I'm unsure what the purpose is because if the pregnancy is not viable, that proves that his coercion did result in the end of the pregnancy." Essentially, Plaintiff has fabricated the abortion coercion allegation to explain why a paternity test would show that she is **not** pregnant. Additionally, as of filing, Plaintiff has not taken a paternity test despite Defendant's repeated offers to pay for the test.
- 15. Upon information and belief, Plaintiff's allegations of abortion coercion, intentional infliction of emotional distress and domestic violence are, quite simply, blatant fabrications that underly her real intention to force Defendant into a relationship with her (in an email with undersigned counsel on August 23, 2021, Plaintiff stated "he can contact me at if he **rethinks his decision regarding a relationship** and if he would like to be a part of pregnancy decisions going forward." (Emphasis added).).

16. Plaintiff's knowingly fraudulent representations to Defendant have caused Defendant consequent and proximate injury and Defendant is therefore entitled to recover consequential damages in an amount to be determined by trier of fact.

II. INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

- Defendant hereby incorporates by reference all allegations of paragraphs 1 of Counterclaim I Fraud, above, as if fully set forth herein.
- 2. In doing so, Defendant hereby alleges that Plaintiff's fraudulent conduct was extreme and outrageous, must either have been intended to cause Defendant emotional distress or recklessly disregarded the near certainty that such distress would result from her conduct and that Defendant has suffered from severe emotional distress as a result of her conduct.
- 3. In turn, Defendant is entitled to recover compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by trier of fact.

WHEREFORE, Defendant having fully answered Plaintiff's Complaint and stated his counterclaims, hereby respectfully requests the following:

- A. That this Court deny Plaintiff's Complaint in its entirety with prejudice;
- B. That this Court grant Defendant's counterclaims and award Defendant damages in an amount to be determined by trier of fact;
- C. That this Court award Defendant his attorneys' fees and costs pursuant to A.R.S. §§ 12-341, 12-349, and Rule 11, *Arizona Rules of Civil Procedure*; and
- D. That this Court grant such other and further relief as deemed appropriate.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 4th day of January, 2022. 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 ORIGINAL of the foregoing e-filed this 4th day of January, 2022, with: 8 9 Clerk of Court Maricopa County Superior Court 10 Central Court Building 11 201 W. Jefferson Street Phoenix, Arizona 85003 12 13 Honorable Alison Bachus Maricopa County Superior Court 14 15 **COPY** of the foregoing document e-mailed the same day to: 16 Laura Owens 17 18 Scottsdale, AZ 85254 19 Plaintiff Pro Per 20 By: <u>/s/Sara Seeburg</u> 21 22 23

24

25

26

27

28

WOODNICK LAW, PLLC

Gregg R. Woodnick Kaci Y. Bowman Attorneys for Defendant

VERIFICATION

I, GREGORY GILLESPIE, being first duly sworn upon his oath, deposes and says:

That I am the Defendant in the foregoing cause of action; that as such, I am authorized to make this Verification; that I have read the foregoing Answer and Counterclaim and know the contents thereof to be true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters stated on information and belief, and as to such, I believe the same to be true.

GREGORY GILLESPIE

STATE OF ARIZONA)
COUNTY OF MARICOPA)ss.)

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this date:

by GREGORY GILLESPIE.

Maricopa Co. / #559662

Seal/My Commission Expires:

Notary Public

City, State, Zip Code