1 Mark W. Shem, Esq., (SBN BORTON PETRINI, LLP
2 95 South Market Street, Suite 400 San Jose, California 95113-2301
3
4
5 Attorneys for Defendant ZAID

ELECTRONICALLY

FILED

Superior Court of California, County of San Francisco

07/22/2019
Clerk of the Court
BY: EDWARD SANTOS
Deputy Clerk

Attorneys for Defendant, ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI, an individual

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

LAURA OWENS, an individual,

Plaintiff,

Case No. CGC-19-575032

 $\parallel_{
m v}$

14

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

18

19

20

21

23

25

ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI, an individual; UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC., a Delaware Corporation; RASIER, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation; RASIER-CA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation; BRUCE ROBERT BROGDEN, an individual; NU FOREST PRODUCTS, INC., a California Corporation; and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive.

Defendants.

DEFENDANT ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT

COMES NOW the defendant ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI, an individual and answers the complaint herein, as follows:

- 1. It appearing that the complaint herein is unverified, defendant files his general denial pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 431.30(d).
- 2. Defendant denies generally and specifically, each and every allegation of said complaint, both conjunctively and disjunctively and the whole thereof and further denies that plaintiff has been damaged in the sum alleged or in any other sum or at all.

28|| \\\

h:\60364\7402

8 owens\pleadin gs\defanswerto complaint4.25. 1

4

13

22

28

26

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

- FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering 1. defendant alleges that plaintiff's complaint and each alleged cause of action therein fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a cause of action as to this answering defendant.
- 2. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that at the times and places mentioned in the complaint, plaintiff was careless, reckless and negligent in and about the matters and things alleged in the complaint, which said carelessness, recklessness and negligence concurred in point of time with the alleged negligence of defendant, if any there may have been, and legally caused and/or contributed to whatever injury and/or damage plaintiff 10 may have sustained, if any, and recovery by plaintiff, if any, should be proportionately reduced according to the percentage of fault of plaintiff.
 - FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering 3. defendant alleges that at the times and places mentioned in the complaint, plaintiff did commit willful misconduct in and about the matters and things alleged in the complaint, which said willful misconduct concurred in point of time with the alleged willful misconduct of defendant, if any there may have been, and legally caused and/or contributed to whatever injury and/or damage plaintiff may have sustained, if any.
 - FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering 4. defendant alleges that in addition to the aforementioned negligence of plaintiff, any injury and/or damage incurred by plaintiff was directly and legally caused and contributed to by the negligence and/or fault of third persons or parties.
 - FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering 5. defendant are informed and believe and thereupon allege that plaintiff did, with full knowledge of the facts, dangers, and consequences of his own actions or inaction, and of the actions or inaction of defendants, expressly, impliedly, and voluntarily accept the risk incident thereto.
 - FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering 6. defendant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that at the times and places alleged in the complaint plaintiff was engaged in a joint and common enterprise with other

gs\defanswerto complaint4 25

h:\60364\7402

gs\defanswerto complaint4.25. persons or parties; that the negligence or fault of plaintiff and each such other person or party is imputed to the other; that plaintiff and each of the other persons or parties was careless, reckless and negligent in and about the matters and things alleged in the complaint, which said carelessness, recklessness and negligence concurred in point of time with the alleged negligence of defendant, if any there may have been, and legally caused and/or contributed to whatever injury and/or damage plaintiff may have sustained, if any.

- 7. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that the events, injuries, losses and damages complained of, if any there were, were the result of an unavoidable accident insofar as this answering defendant is concerned and occurred without any negligence, want of care, default or other breach of duty on the part of said defendant.
- 8. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE to plaintiff's complaint and each alleged cause of action therein, this answering defendant is informed and believes and thereupon alleges that at the time of the accident mentioned in plaintiff's complaint, plaintiff was employed by an employer providing workers' compensation benefits as a result of such accident and any injury sustained thereby; that at the times and places mentioned in plaintiff's complaint said employer was careless, reckless and negligent in and about the matters and things mentioned in the complaint; that the negligence of plaintiff's employer and employees of said employer is imputed to said employer so as to bar and/or limit recovery herein in accordance with the Doctrine of *Witt v. Jackson* (1961) 57 Cal.2d 57.
- 9. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that plaintiff, by the exercise of reasonable effort and/or care, could have mitigated the damages alleged to have been suffered, but plaintiff has failed, neglected and refused, and continues to fail and refuse to exercise reasonable effort to mitigate the damages, if any.
- 10. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff could have avoided damages by reasonable effort or expenditure.
- 11. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, defendant alleges that plaintiff's causes of action and each of them set forth in the complaint herein is barred by the

6

24

28

applicable statute of limitation including but not limited to C.C.P. § 335.1.

- 12. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that pursuant to California Civil Code § 1431.2, any liability of defendant for non-economic damages is several only and not joint; that defendant is liable, if at all, only for the amount of non-economic damages allocated to such defendant in direct proportion to defendant's percentage of fault.
- 13. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that plaintiff's complaint and each alleged cause of action therein is barred by the provisions of Civil Code § 3333.3.
- 14. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant alleges that pursuant to the "Personal Responsibility Act of 1996" and Civil Code § 3333.4 (adopted by Initiative (Proposition 213) at the November 5, 1996 General Election, effective November 6, 1996), plaintiff's complaint and each alleged cause of action therein is barred and plaintiff is precluded from recovery against defendants for any non-economic losses to compensate for pain, suffering, inconvenience, physical impairment, disfigurement or other non-pecuniary damages.
- 15. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that the plaintiff has engaged in conduct with respect to the activities and/or property which are the subject of the complaint, and by reason of said activities and conduct, is estopped from asserting any claim or damages or seeking any other relief against these answering defendants.
- 16. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that the plaintiff has engaged in conduct and activities sufficient to constitute a waiver of any alleged, negligence or any other conduct, if any, as set forth in the complaint.
- 17. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that due to his tardiness in asserting its purported right to recover, plaintiff's claims should be barred by the equitable doctrine of laches.

FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering 18. defendant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that by virtue of plaintiff's unlawful, immoral, careless, negligent and other wrongful conduct, plaintiff should be barred from recovering against this answering defendant by the equitable doctrine of unclean hands.

19. FOR A FURTHER, SEPARATE AND DISTINCT DEFENSE, this answering defendant is informed and believes and upon such information and belief alleges that he acted with reasonable care in an emergency situation.

Because the Complaint is couched in conclusionary terms, this answering defendant cannot fully anticipate all affirmative defenses that may be applicable to the within action. Accordingly, the right to assert additional affirmative defenses, if and to the extent that such affirmative defenses are applicable, is hereby reserved.

WHEREFORE, this answering defendant prays that plaintiff take nothing by way of plaintiff's complaint and defendant goes hence with defendant's costs of suit, and for such other and further relief as the Court deems proper.

WHEREFORE, Defendant prays that:

- The Complaint be dismissed in its entirety with prejudice; 1.
- 2. Plaintiff takes nothing by this action;
- Defendant be awarded costs of suit incurred herein; 3.
- Defendant be awarded such other and further relief as the Court deems just and 4. proper.

Dated: July 22, 2019

BORTON PETRINI, LLP

By Mark W. Shem, Esq.,

ESSAM ZAID Attorneys for Defendant,

SALEH ALKURDI, an individual

PROOF OF SERVICE (Code Civ. Proc., §§ 1013a)

OWENS v. ALKURDI San Francisco County Superior Court - Case No. CGC-19-575032

STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA

I am employed in the County of Santa Clara, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is

On July 22, 2019, I served the foregoing document described as DEFENDANT ZAID ESSAM SALEH ALKURDI'S ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINT on the other parties in this action by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelopes addressed as listed.

9		SEE ATTACHED SERVICE LIST
10 11	V	BY MAIL: As follows: I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing with the United States Postal Service. Under that practice the envelope would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at San Jose, California in the ordinary course of business.
12 13		BY FACSIMILE: I caused each document to be delivered by electronic facsimile to the listed above. The facsimile machine I used complied with California Rules of Court, Rule 2.301 and no error was reported by the machine. Pursuant to California Rules of Court, Rule 2.306.
1415		BY OVERNIGHT COURIER SERVICE: I caused each envelope with postage fully prepaid to be sent by overnight.
16	Name of the last o	BY PERSONAL SERVICE: Pursuant to C.C.P. Section 1011, I caused to be delivered such envelope by hand to the offices of the addressee(s) listed on the attached mailing list.
171819	Γ	BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 1010.6 and California Rules of Court, Rule 2.251, service shall be completed via electronic transmission to the attached person(s) transmission of such is at the e-mail address(es) indicated on the attached mailing list.
20	- I	FEDERAL: I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made.
2122	and co	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true orrect.
23		Executed on July 22, 2019, at San Jose, California.
24		Sharon Wilson Type or Print Name Signature
25		Type or Print Name Signature

h:\60364\7402 owens\pleadin

gs\defanswerto complaint4.25.

26

27

28

2

3

4

5

6

SERVICE LIST

Alison E. Cordova Donald Magilligan Law Offices Cotchett, Pitre & McCarthy, LLP San Francisco Airport Office Center 840 Malcolm Rd Burlingame, CA 94010

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

David V. Roth Arthur Khurin MANNING & KASS ELLROD, RAMIREZ, TRESTER LLP One California Street, Suite 900 San Francisco, CA 94111

Robert M. Bozdin Katrina R. Durek BURHAM BROWN A Professional Law Corporation P.O. Box 119 Oakland, CA 94604-0119 Attorneys for Plaintiff, LAURA OWENS



Attorneys for Defendant, BRUCE ROBERT BROGDEN and NU FOREST PRODUCTS, INC.



Attorneys for Defendants, UBER TECHNOLOGIES, INC.; RASIER, LLC; and RASIER-CA, LLC



28 h:\60364\7402

h:\60364\7402 8 owens\pleadin gs\defanswerto

complaint4.25.

7